

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION TRUSTEES OF BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY TRUSTEES OF IDAHO STATE UNIVERSITY TRUSTEES OF LEWIS-CLARK STATE COLLEGE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION

## APPROVED MINUTES STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION May 2, 2013 Special Teleconference Meeting Boise, ID

A special teleconference meeting of the State Board of Education was held May 2, 2013. It originated from the Board office in Boise Idaho. Board President Don Soltman presided and called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. A roll call of members was taken.

## Present:

Don Soltman, President Rod Lewis, Secretary Milford Terrell Ken Edmunds (joined at 3:10) Richard Westerberg Tom Luna (joined at 3:04) Bill Goesling

# Absent:

Emma Atchley, Vice President

# **BUSINESS AFFAIRS AND HUMAN RESOURCES**

1. FY14 College and Universities Appropriation Allocation

## **BOARD ACTION:**

M/S (Terrell/Westerberg): I move to approve the allocation of the FY 2014 appropriation for Boise State University, Idaho State University, University of Idaho, Lewis-Clark State College, and system-wide needs, as presented on Tab 1, Page 3. The motion passed six to one. Dr. Goesling voted nay on the motion.

## SUBSTITUTE MOTION:

M/S (Goesling/): I move to approve the allocation of the FY 2014 appropriation for Boise State University, Idaho State University, University of Idaho, Lewis-Clark State College, and system-wide needs, as presented on Tab 1, Page 3, with the following change to line 33 ("EWA Surplus"): BSU: \$1,290,400; ISU: \$0; UI: \$0; LCSC: \$75,000. The motion failed due to lack of a second.

Mr. Terrell introduced the item, commenting that this item was discussed at the April 18, 2013 regular Board meeting. At that meeting, Board members were unable to reach an agreement

on a motion to allocate the appropriation, so the item was returned to the BAHR committee for further consideration. Since that time, the BAHR committee has discussed the item and reviewed several different allocation scenarios with the financial vice presidents and budget directors of the institutions. The BAHR committee concluded that their original recommendation to the Board was still the best option.

Dr. Goesling asked about the other allocation methods that were discussed with the BAHR committee. Mr. Freeman spoke to the question, stating that the BAHR committee met with the financial vice presidents and the budget directors from the four institutions where they discussed seven different options that included different funding allocations by enrollment along with the funding equity model that the Board and institutions had agreed to. Dr. Goesling asked what the consensus of the financial vice presidents was at the conclusion of that meeting. Mr. Freeman responded that Idaho State University, the University of Idaho and Lewis-Clark State College agreed to a compromise of allocating the \$1,365,400 based on the prior year unfunded enrollment workload allowance (EWA) proportional share. Dr. Goesling asked what the remaining balances would be if those amounts were approved today. Mr. Freeman responded that for BSU it would reduce their prior year unfunded EWA balance to about \$8.9 million. There was further discussion about the EWA and equity model and Mr. Freeman clarified the details of each. Mr. Freeman clarified that when referring to equity, he is referring to the equity model that the institutions and Board agreed to in developing the FY14 request for funding equity in the amount of \$9.5 million.

Dr. Goesling offered a substitute motion.

Mr. Luna asked if the issue of ongoing funding had been thoroughly vetted. Mr. Terrell responded that the BAHR committee had discussed funding and the options thoroughly. Mr. Westerberg echoed those remarks commenting that the option presented appears to be the best of the options available.

Dr. Goesling expressed his reasoning and the basis of his substitute motion. He felt Lewis-Clark State College was in a situation where it needed the extra dollars in conjunction with hiring an internal auditor as directed at the April Board meeting, and that the other institutions had worked collaboratively to toward that end.

#### **Other Business:**

There being no further business, a motion to adjourn was entertained.

M/S (Terrell/Westerberg): To adjourn at 3:20 p.m. The motion carried unanimously.